

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

WHITECAP INVESTMENT CORP. d/b/a/
PARADISE LUMBER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No. 2010-139

PUTNAM LUMBER & EXPORT COMPANY; PUTNAM
FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.; GREAT
SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.; MIKE
NOBLE; XYZ CORP.; JOHN DOE; and JANE
DOE,

Defendants,

and

GARY CHAPIN

Intervenor-defendant.

PUTNAM FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.,

Cross-claimant,

v.

GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,

Cross-claim defendant.

PUTNAM LUMBER & EXPORT COMPANY,

Cross-claimant,

v.

GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,

Cross-claim defendant.

MIKE NOBLE,)
)
 Cross-claimant,)
)
 v.)
)
 GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,)
)
 Cross-claim defendant.)
)
 _____)
 PUTNAM FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.,)
)
 Counterclaimant,)
)
 v.)
)
 WHITECAP INVESTMENT CORP. d/b/a)
 PARADISE LUMBER,)
)
 Counterclaim defendant.)
 _____)

ATTORNEYS:

Alex Moskowitz, Esq.

Dudley, Topper & Feuerzeig

Christopher A. Kroblin, Esq.

Shari N. D'Andrade, Esq.

Erika A. Kellerhals, Esq.

Kellerhaals Ferguson Fletcher Kroblin LLP

St. Thomas, VI

*For the plaintiff/counterclaim defendant Whitecap
Investment Corp. d/b/a Paradise Lumber,*

Lisa M. Kömives, Esq.

Bolt Nagi PC

St. Thomas, VI

Robert A. Carlson, Esq.

Lee, Hernandez, Landrum, Garofalo and Blake APC

Miami, FL

*For the defendants/cross-claimaints Putnam Lumber & Export
Co. and Mike Noble; and for the defendant/cross-
claimant/counterclaimant Putnam Family Properties, Inc,*

Daryl C. Barnes, Esq.

Sunshine Benoit, Esq.

Bryant, Barnes, Moss & Beckstedt
St. Croix, VI

Lee M. Hollis, Esq.

Stewart Andrew Kelly, Esq.

Lightfoot, Franklin & White, LLC
Birmingham, AL

*For the defendant/cross-claim defendant Great Southern Wood
Preserving, Inc.,*

Terri L. Griffiths, Esq.

Terri Griffiths, Attorney at Law
Carbonadale, IL

For the intervenor-defendant Gary Chapin.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, C.J.

Before the Court is the motion of the defendant Great Southern Wood Preserving, Inc. ("GSWP") for summary judgment on all counts asserted against him in the Complaint.

For the reasons more fully stated in the Memorandum Opinion of even date, it is hereby

ORDERED that GSWP's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED IN PART**, insofar as it seeks summary judgment with respect to Count Two of the Complaint; it is further

ORDERED that Count Two of the Complaint be and hereby is **DISMISSED** as against GSWP; and it is further

ORDERED that, with respect to the remaining counts pending against GSWP, GSWP's motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**.

S_____
Curtis V. Gómez
Chief Judge